



## PLANNING FORUM

Notes of meeting held at 7.30pm Wednesday 19 January 2016 at Parmoor House

**Those present:** John Henry and Mike Sheppard

**Apologies:** Bruce Buchanan, Mike Duckering, Douglas Ogle (who sent written comments) and Caroline Dunn

### WEEKLY LIST 18 DECEMBER 2015

#### 1. Application No: 15/02176/FUL

**Location:** The Bredons Harp Hill Charlton Kings Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Demolition of existing dwelling known as 'The Bredons' and erection of 2 no. detached dwellings and associated works  
**Applicant:** Savignac Developments Limited  
**Agent:** Mr James Griffin  
**Comments:** **We are quite happy with the chalet approach, and think this should provide an interesting foil to the proposed adjoining development**

#### 2. Application No: 15/02131/FUL

**Location:** Land Off Sandy Lane Charlton Kings Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Construction of a low contoured earth flood bund in the grazing meadow to the south of Southfield Manor Park. Its purpose being to intercept and attenuate out of channel flow from Southfield Brook and overland surface water run-off from the Cotswold escarpment. In addition, a second smaller earth bund is proposed immediately south of properties in Hartley Close. The proposed scheme provides the benefit of reduced flood risk to properties in Southfield Manor Park, Hartley Close and Sandy Lane.  
**Applicant:** Cheltenham Borough Council  
**Agent:** Mr Shauket Khan  
**Comments:** **This is a measure to be welcomed as a way of preventing further flood**

#### 3. Application No: 15/02183/DEEM3

**Location:** Cheltenham East Community Fire Station Keynsham Road Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Erection of training tower  
**Applicant:** Property Strategy  
**Agent:** Mr Andrew Carr  
**Comments:** **Already dealt with by CBC as consultee**

#### 4. Application No: 15/02177/FUL

**Location:** Wellington Lodge Wellington Square Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Proposed sunken terrace, summerhouse and landscaping  
**Applicant:** Mr Barry Hulme  
**Agent:** Ms Curstain Keltie  
**Comments:** **In principle, we have no objection but we do question the need to replace a beech hedge with a yew one.**

## WEEKLY LIST 31 DECEMBER 2015

### 1. Application No: 15/02268/FUL

**Location:** 57-59 Winchcombe Street Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Partial demolition and mixed-use conversion to 11no. apartments and commercial/retail unit (Use Classes A1, A2, or B1a) with associated external alterations, fourth floor extension, car parking, cycle and refuse storage. t.  
**Applicant:** Gallery Estates Limited  
**Agent:** Mr Colin Pemble  
**Comments:** **We are sympathetic to this proposal. We acknowledge that a mix of retail and residential is the most viable way forward as the possibility of the use of this site as an arts centre is no longer realistic. We think that what is proposed retains the essential character of the old mill buildings, which is important as it is an unusual style for Cheltenham. We also accept – given that the structure is not listed – that alterations necessary to make it a workable scheme must be accepted, provided the overall character of the building is retained – as it is. It is also our view that for a residential scheme in this area to be viable parking must be provided. We are slightly concerned about the small size of the smaller units.**

### 2. Application No: 15/02007/FUL

**Location:** 282 London Road Charlton Kings Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Demolition of existing vacant dwelling house. Landscaping works to remove existing trees identified on Arboricultural Report, planting of new trees and erection of new retaining wall. Erection of 2no. 5 bedroom detached dwelling houses and separate garage block with parking courtyard and private rear gardens (revised scheme following refusal of planning permission ref. 15/01450/FUL  
**Applicant:** Miss Alexia Buckwell  
**Agent:** Mr Phil Cooksey  
**Comments:** **The design for these houses is excessively traditional, and they appear rather crammed into the site. We would have hoped for something more imaginative or eye-catching.**

### 3. Application No: 15/02213/FUL

**Location:** Wayside Balcarras Road Charlton Kings Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Erection of replacement dwelling  
**Applicant:** Bredons Norton Builders Ltd  
**Agent:** Mr B Stanley  
**Comments:** **This is an appropriate low profile design that works well in this wooded location. We commend it.**

### 4. Application No: 15/02266/FUL

**Location:** Trelawn Court Rodney Road Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Proposed development of a new dwelling above nos. 3 and 4 Trelawn Court  
**Applicant:** Mr Oliver Bishop  
**Agent:** Mr John Everitt  
**Comments:** **This is a very sensitive site in the centre of the town. It could do with upgrading, but this scheme does not achieve that.**

### 5. Application No: 15/02262/FUL

**Location:** Charlton Lane Hospital Charlton Lane Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Extension of existing parking area to create of 20 number new parking spaces  
**Applicant:** Mr Adrain Eggleton  
**Agent:** Quattro Design Architects Ltd  
**Comments:** **This is to be welcomed as overspill parking on Charlton Lane is a real issue.**

**WEEKLY LIST 8 JANUARY 2016**

**1. Application No: 15/02261/FUL**

**Location:** Dean Close School Shelburne Road Hatherley Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** Replacement fencing  
**Applicant:** Dean Close School  
**Agent:**  
**Comments:** **This will be very unsightly and make the school look – and feel – like a prison.**

**WEEKLY LIST 15 JANUARY 2016**

**1. Application No: 16/00007/FUL**

**Location:** Eagle Star Tower Podium Montpellier Drive Cheltenham  
**Proposal:** External alterations comprising the replacement of all existing windows with uPVC units; rendering all protruding features of the elevation; adding grey trespa panelling; and adding double doors in various existing openings  
**Applicant:** Mr Melvin Griffen  
**Agent:** Mr Daniel Drayton  
**Comments:** **We do not like the proposal for pvc windows, and we strongly disapprove of the proposed rendering of the protruding features of the elevation. Even if you do not like the Eagle Tower it is better to leave it as it is with its original character retained intact than to subject it to partial face-lifts in a misguided attempt to bring it up to date.**

---